

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,797	02/04/2004	Seung-Hwan Moon	YPL-0097	3347
75	90 10/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Cantor Colburn LLP			LAM, TUAN THIEU	
55 Griffin South Bloomfield, CT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/771,797	MOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T. Lam	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	igust 2005.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Applicity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the amendment filed 8/24/2005. Claims 1-17 have elected for further examination. Claims 18-19 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, the recitation of "the third control signal" in line 3 lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 15, the recitation of "the third control signal" is indefinite because it is unclear. It appears that the third control signal recited in claim 15 is the first control signal recited in claim 1. Therefore, it is unclear as to if the first and third control signals are the same signal or are different signals. Clarification and correction are required.

Claims 16-17 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's cited prior art figure 1.

Applicant's cited prior art figure 2 shows a shift register having a plurality of stages, each stage (figure 1) comprising a pull up part (110), a current gate line driving signal (GOUTn), a first control signal (signal at node N1), a clock signal (CKV), a pull down part (120), a second control signal (output signal of 140), a pull up driver (130) to generate the first control signal in response to a previous gate line driving signal (Goutn-1), gate line driving signal from a following stage (CT), an input voltage signal (VON), a pull down driver (140) to generate the second control signal in response to the first control signal and the input voltage signal, wherein the second control signals swings between first and second voltage levels in association with the input voltage (VON) that swings between predetermined voltage levels as called for in claims 1-7 and 12-13.

Regarding claims 8-10, applicant's cited prior art figure 1 shows a pull up transistor (110), a hold transistor (Q5).

Regarding claim 11, applicant's cited prior art figure 1 shows a pull down transistor (120).

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (US2003/0090614).

Kim et al.'s figure 14 shows a shift register having a plurality of stages, each

Art Unit: 2816

Stage (figure 15) comprising a pull up part (142), a current gate line driving signal (OUT), a first control signal (signal at node N3), a clock signal (CK), a pull down part (144), a second control signal (output signal of N4), a pull up driver (146) to generate the first control signal in response to a previous gate line driving signal (IN), gate line driving signal from a following stage (CT), an input voltage signal (VDD), a pull down driver (148) to generate the second control signal in response to the first control signal and the input voltage signal, wherein the second control signals swings between first and second voltage levels in association with the input voltage (VDD) that swings between predetermined voltage levels as called for in claims 1-7 and 12-13.

Regarding claims 8-10, figure 15 shows a pull up transistor (142), a hold transistor (NT5).

Regarding claim 11, figure 15 shows a pull down transistor (144).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending

Art Unit: 2816

Application No. 10/454,331. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are having common technical features.

Regarding claim 1, claim 1 of US application 10/454,331 recites each stage comprising a pull up section, a pull down section, pull up driving, first and second pull down driving sections.

Regarding claims 2-13, the recited limitations therein are inherently present in the limitations recited in claim 1 of US application 10/454,331.

Regarding claims 14-17, claims 3 and 5 of US application 10/454,331 recites first and second pull down driving sections.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan T. Lam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

10/3/2005